

Referencer til artikel i Dansk Sportsmedicin nr. 3, 2012:

Methodological and statistical issues relating to Patient-reported outcome (PRO) instruments: Including examples of generic and knee-specific instruments

Af: Roos EM, PT PhD, Engelhart L, PhD, Ranstam J, PhD, Anderson AF, MD, Irrgang JJ, PT PhD ATC FAPTA, Marx R, MD, MSc, FRCSC, Tegner Y, MD PhD, Davis AM, BSc PT MSc PhD

1. Nunnally JC. Psychometric theory. 2nd ed ed. New York: McGraw-Hill Inc.; 1978.
2. Kreuz PC, Muller S, Ossendorf C, Kaps C, Erggelet C. Treatment of focal degenerative cartilage defects with polymer-based autologous chondrocyte grafts: four-year clinical results. *Arthritis Res Ther.* 2009;11(2):R33.
3. Greco NJ, Anderson AF, Mann BJ, Cole BJ, Farr J, Nissen CW, et al. Responsiveness of the International Knee Documentation Committee Subjective Knee Form in comparison to the Western Ontario and McMaster Universities Osteoarthritis Index, modified Cincinnati Knee Rating System, and Short Form 36 in patients with focal articular cartilage defects. *Am J Sports Med.* 2010 May;38(5):891-902.
4. WHO. International classification of functioning, disability and health. . Geneva: World Health Organisation; 2001.
5. Lord FM. Applications of item response theory to practical testing problems. Hillsdale, New Jersey: Lawrence Erlbaum Associations; 1980.
6. Rasch G. Probabilistic model for some intelligence and attainment tests. Reprinted ed ed. Chicago: University of Chicago Press; 1960.
7. Landis JR, Koch GG. The measurement of observer agreement for categorical data. *Biometrics.* 1977 Mar;33(1):159-74.
8. Terwee CB, Dekker FW, Wiersinga WM, Prummel MF, Bossuyt PM. On assessing responsiveness of health-related quality of life instruments: guidelines for instrument evaluation. *Qual Life Res.* 2003 Jun;12(4):349-62.
9. Fleiss JL. The design and analysis of clinical experiments. New York: John Wiley and Sons; 1986.
10. Beaton DE. Understanding the relevance of measured change through studies of responsiveness. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976).* 2000 Dec 15;25(24):3192-9.

11. Lassere MN, van der Heijde D, Johnson K, Bruynesteyn K, Molenaar E, Boonen A, et al. Robustness and generalizability of smallest detectable difference in radiological progression. *J Rheumatol*. 2001 Apr;28(4):911-3.
12. Stratford PW, Binkley J, Solomon P, Finch E, Gill C, Moreland J. Defining the minimum level of detectable change for the Roland-Morris questionnaire. *Phys Ther*. 1996 Apr;76(4):359-65; discussion 66-8.
13. Stratford PW, Binkley JM. Applying the results of self-report measures to individual patients: an example using the Roland-Morris Questionnaire. *J Orthop Sports Phys Ther*. 1999 Apr;29(4):232-9.
14. Streiner DL, Norman GR. *Health measurement scales: A practical guide to their development and use*. Fourth Edition ed. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2008.
15. Hambly K, Griva K. IKDC or KOOS? Which measures symptoms and disabilities most important to postoperative articular cartilage repair patients? . *Am J Sports Med*. September 2008;36(9):1695-704.
16. Comins J, Brodersen J, Krogsgaard M, Beyer N. Rasch analysis of the Knee injury and Osteoarthritis Outcome Score (KOOS): a statistical re-evaluation. *Scand J Med Sci Sports*. 2008 Jun;18(3):336-45.
17. Cohen J. *Statistical power analysis for the behavioral sciences*. 2nd ed. Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum; 1988.
18. Kazis LE, Anderson JJ, Meenan RF. Effect sizes for interpreting changes in health status. *Med Care*. 1989 Mar;27(3 Suppl):S178-89.
19. Liang MH, Fossel AH, Larson MG. Comparisons of five health status instruments for orthopedic evaluation. *Med Care*. 1990 Jul;28(7):632-42.
20. Bekkers JE, de Windt TS, Raijmakers NJ, Dhert WJ, Saris DB. Validation of the Knee Injury and Osteoarthritis Outcome Score (KOOS) for the treatment of focal cartilage lesions. *Osteoarthritis Cartilage*. May 7 2009.
21. Jaeschke R, Singer J, Guyatt GH. Measurement of health status. Ascertaining the minimal clinically important difference. *Control Clin Trials*. 1989 Dec;10(4):407-15.
22. Juniper EF, Guyatt GH, Willan A, Griffith LE. Determining a minimal important change in a disease-specific Quality of Life Questionnaire. *J Clin Epidemiol*. 1994 Jan;47(1):81-7.
23. Tubach F, Ravaud P, Beaton D, Boers M, Bombardier C, Felson DT, et al. Minimal clinically important improvement and patient acceptable symptom state for subjective outcome measures in rheumatic disorders. *J Rheumatol*. 2007 May;34(5):1188-93.

24. FDA's Guidance on Patient Reported Outcomes in Clinical Research. [cited 2010 June 2]; Available from: http://www.invivodata.com/epro-resources/fda-draft-guidance---patient-reported-outcomes-pro/?gclid=CI648dS1_6ECFY9M5Qod51jdDg
25. Irrgang JJ, Anderson AF, Boland AL. Development and validation of the International Knee Documentation Committee Subjective Knee Form. *Am J Sports Med.* 2001;29(5):600-13.
26. FDA Guidance for Industry Preparation of IDEs and INDs for Products Intended to Repair or Replace Knee Cartilage. [cited 2010 June 7]; Available from: <http://www.fda.gov/downloads/BiologicsBloodVaccines/GuidanceComplianceRegulatoryInformation/Guidances/CellularandGeneTherapy/ucm078710.pdf>
27. Irrgang JJ, Anderson AF, Boland AL. Responsiveness of the International Knee Documentation Committee Subjective Knee Form. *Am J Sports Med.* 2006;34(10):1567-73.
28. Anderson AA, Irrgang JJ, Kocher MS, Mann BJ, Harrast JJ, Committee aMotIKD. The International Knee Documentation Committee Subjective Knee Evaluation Form: Normative data. *Am J Sports Med.* 2006;34(1):128-35.
29. Iversen MD, Lee B, Connell P, Andersen J, Anderson AF, Kocher MS. Validity and comprehensibility of the International Knee Documentation Committee Subjective Knee Evaluation form in Children. *Scand J Med Sci Sports.* 2010 Feb;20(1):e87-95.
30. Tanner SM, Dainty KN, Marx RG, Kirkley A. Knee-specific quality-of-life instruments. Which ones measure symptoms and disabilities most important to patients? . *Am J Sports Med.* 2007;35(9):1450-8.
31. Roos EM, Roos HP, Lohmander LS, Ekdahl C, Beynnon BD. Knee Injury and Osteoarthritis Outcome Score (KOOS)--development of a self-administered outcome measure. *J Orthop Sports Phys Ther.* Aug 1998;28(2):88-96.
32. Roos EM, Roos HP, Ekdahl C, Lohmander LS. Knee injury and Osteoarthritis Outcome Score (KOOS)--validation of a Swedish version. *Scand J Med Sci Sports.* Dec 1998;8(6):439-48.
33. Roos EM, Toksvig-Larsen S. Knee injury and Osteoarthritis Outcome Score (KOOS) - validation and comparison to the WOMAC in total knee replacement. *Health Qual Life Outcomes.* 2003;1:17.
34. Granan LP, Bahr R, Steindal K, Furnes O, Engebretsen L. Development of a national cruciate ligament surgery registry: the Norwegian National Knee Ligament Registry. *Am J Sports Med.* Feb 2008;36(2):308-15.
35. Paradowski PT, Bergman S, Sunden-Lundius A, Lohmander LS, Roos EM. Knee complaints vary with age and gender in the adult population. Population-based reference data for the Knee injury and Osteoarthritis Outcome Score (KOOS). *BMC Musculoskelet Disord* 2006;7.

36. Ageberg E, Forssblad M, Herbertsson P, Roos EM. Sex Differences in Patient-Reported Outcomes After Anterior Cruciate Ligament Reconstruction: Data From the Swedish Knee Ligament Register. *Am J Sports Med.* Apr 21.
37. Lind M, Menhert F, Pedersen AB. The first results from the Danish ACL reconstruction registry: epidemiologic and 2 year follow-up results from 5,818 knee ligament reconstructions. *Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc.* 2009 Feb;17(2):117-24.
38. Roos EM, Lohmander LS. Knee injury and Osteoarthritis Outcome Score (KOOS): from joint injury to osteoarthritis. *Health Qual Life Outcomes.* 2003;1:64.
39. Tegner Y, Lysholm J. Rating systems in the evaluation of knee ligament injuries. *Clin Orthop Relat Res.* Sep 1985;198:43-9.
40. Briggs KK, Steadman JR, Hay CJ, Hines SL. Lysholm score and Tegner activity level in individuals with normal knees. *Am J Sports Med.* May 2009;37(5):898-901.
41. Briggs KK, Lysholm J, Tegner Y, Rodkey WG, Kocher MS, Steadman JR. The reliability, validity, and responsiveness of the Lysholm score and Tegner activity scale for anterior cruciate ligament injuries of the knee: 25 years later. *Am J Sports Med.* May 2009;37(5):890-7.
42. Smith HJ, Richardson JB, Tennant A. Modification and validation of the Lysholm Knee Scale to assess articular cartilage damage. *Osteoarthritis Cartilage.* 2009 Jan;17(1):53-8.
43. Kocher MS, Steadman JR, Briggs KK, Sterett WI, Hawkins RJ. Reliability, validity, and responsiveness of the Lysholm knee scale for various chondral disorders of the knee. *J Bone Joint Surg Am.* 2004 Jun;86-A(6):1139-45.
44. Minas T, Gomoll AH, Solhpour S, Rosenberger R, Probst C, Bryant T. Autologous Chondrocyte Implantation for Joint Preservation in Patients with Early Osteoarthritis. *Clin Orthop Relat Res.* Aug 2009.
45. Rabenda V, Burlet N, Ethgen O, Raeman F, Belaiche J, Reginster JY. A naturalistic study of the determinants of health related quality of life improvement in osteoarthritic patients treated with non-specific non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs. *Ann Rheum Dis.* May 2005;64(5):688-93.
46. De Bock GH, Kaptein AA, Touw-Otten F, Mulder J. Health-related quality of life in patients with osteoarthritis in a family practice setting. *Arthritis Care Res.* 1995;8:88-93.
47. Dexter P, Brandt K. Distribution and predictors of depressive symptoms in osteoarthritis. *J Rheumatol.* 1994;21:279-86.
48. McHorney CA, Ware JEJ, Lu JF, Sherbourne CD. The MOS 36-item Short-form Health Survey (SF-36): III. Tests of data quality, scaling assumptions, and reliability across diverse patient groups *Med Care.* Jan 1994;32(1):40-66.

49. McHorney CA, Ware JEJ, Raczek AE. The MOS 36-item Short-form Health Survey (SF-36): II. Psychometric and clinical tests of validity in measuring physical and mental health constructs. *Med Care*. Mar 1993;31(3):247-63.
50. Ware JEJ, Sherbourne CD. The MOS 36-item short-form health survey (SF-36). I. Conceptual framework and item selection. *Med Care*. Jun 1992;30(6):473-83.
51. Kosinski M, Keller SD, Hatoum HT, Kong SX, Ware JE. The SF-36 Health Survey as a generic outcome measure in clinical trials of patients with osteoarthritis and rheumatoid arthritis : tests of data quality, scaling assumptions and score reliability. *Med Care*. May 1999a;37(5 Suppl):MS10-22.
52. Kosinski M, Keller S-D, Ware JEJ, Hatoum HT, Kong SX. The SF-36 Health Survey as a generic outcome measure in clinical trials of patients with osteoarthritis and rheumatoid arthritis : relative validity of scales in relation to clinical measures of arthritis severity. *Med Care*. May 1999b;37(5 Suppl):MS23-39.
53. Brazier J, Roberts J, Deverill M. The estimation of a preference-based measure of health from the SF-36. . *Journal of Health Economics*. 2002;20:271-92.
54. Knutsen G, Drogset JO, Engebretsen L, Grontvedt T, Isaksen V, Ludvigsen TC, et al. A randomized trial comparing autologous chondrocyte implantation with microfracture, findings at five years. *J Bone Joint Surg Am*. 2007;89:2105-12.
55. Mithoefer K, Williams RJ, Warren RF, Potter HG, Spock CR, Jones EC, et al. The microfracture technique for the treatment of articular cartilage lesions in the knee. A prospective cohort study. *J Bone Joint Surg Am*. 2005;87:1911-20.
56. Ossendorf C, Kaps C, Kreuz PC, Burmester GR, M. S, Erggelet C. Treatment of posttraumatic and focal osteoarthritic cartilage defects of the knee with autologous polymer-based three-dimensional chondrocyte grafts : 2-year clinical results. *Arthritis Res Ther*. 2007;9(2):R41.
57. Zaslav K, Cole B, Brewster R, DeBerardino T, Farr J, Fowler P, et al. A prospective study of autologous chondrocyte implantation in patients with failed prior treatment for articular cartilage defect of the knee. *Am J Sports Med*. 2009;37(1):42-55.
58. Ware JEJ, Kosinski M, Keller SD. A 12-Item Short-Form Health Survey: construction of scales and preliminary tests of reliability and validity. *Med Care*. 1996;34:220-33.
59. Brazier J, Roberts J. The estimation of a preference-based measure of health from the SF-12. *Med Care*. Sep 2004;42(9):851-9.
60. McNickle AG, L'Heureux DR, Yanke AB, Cole BJ. Outcomes of autologous chondrocyte implantation in a diverse patient population. *Am J Sports Med*. 2009;37(7):1344-50.
61. Cole BJ, Dennis MG, Lee SJ, Nho SJ, Kalsi RS, Hayden JK, et al. Prospective evaluation of allograft meniscus transplantation: a minimum 2-year follow-up. *Am J Sports Med*. June 2006;34(6):919-27.

62. Marzieres B, Hucher M, Zaim M, Garnero P. Effect of chondroitin sulphate in symptomatic knee osteoarthritis: a multicenter, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study. *Ann Rheum Dis*. May 2007;66(5):639-45.
63. Brooks R. EuroQoL: The current state of play. *Health Policy*. 1996;37(1):53-72.
64. Rabin R, de Charro F. EQ-5D: a measure of health status from the EuroQol Group. *Ann Med*. 2001 Jul;33(5):337-43.
65. Gobbi A, Kon E, Berruto M, Francisco R, Filardo G, Marcacci M. Patellofemoral full-thickness chondral defects treated with Hyalograft-C: a clinical, arthroscopic, and histologic review *American Journal of Sports Medicine*. 2006;34(11):1763-73.
66. Marcacci M, Berruto M, Brocchetta D, Delcogliano A, Ghinelli D, Gobbi A, et al. Articular cartilage engineering with Hyalograft C : 3-year clinical results. . *Clin Orthop Relat Res*. Jun 2005;435:96-105.
67. Raman R, Dutta A, Day N, Sharma HK, Shaw CJ, Johnson GV. Efficacy of Hylan G-F 20 and Sodium Hyaluronate in the treatment of osteoarthritis of the knee -- a prospective randomized clinical trial. *Knee*. 2008 Aug;15(4):318-24.
68. Analysis of comparative effectiveness. 2009 [cited 2010 May 26]; Available from: <http://www.randcompare.org/analysis-of-options/analysis-of-comparative-effectiveness>
69. Kristensen FB, Makela M, Neikter SA, Rehnqvist N, Haheim LL, Morland B, et al. European network for health technology assessment, EUnetHTA: planning, development, and implementation of a sustainable European network for health technology assessment. *Int J Technol Assess Health Care*. 2009 Dec;25 Suppl 2:107-16.
70. Schoen C, Guterman S, Shih A. Bending the curve: options for achieving savings and improving value in U.S. health spending. 2007 [cited 2010 May 26]; Available from: http://www.commonwealthfund.org/usr_doc/Schoen_bendingthecurve_1080.pdf>
71. Frobell RB, Svensson E, Gothrick M, Roos EM. Self-reported activity level and knee function in amateur football players: the influence of age, gender, history of knee injury and level of competition. *Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc*. 2008 Jul;16(7):713-9.
72. Fuchs S, Tibesku CO, Frisse D, Genkinger M, Laass H, Rosenbaum D. Clinical and functional comparison of uni- and bicondylar sledge prostheses. *Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc*. 2005 Apr;13(3):197-202.
73. Nagel A, Insall JN, Scuderi GR. Proximal tibial osteotomy. A subjective outcome study. *J Bone Joint Surg Am*. 1996 Sep;78(9):1353-8.
74. Fruensgaard S, Helmig P, Riis J, Stovring JO. Conservative treatment for acute rupture of the Achilles tendon. *Int Orthop*. 1992;16(1):33-5.

75. Krips R, van Dijk CN, Lehtonen H, Halasi T, Moyon B, Karlsson J. Sports activity level after surgical treatment for chronic anterolateral ankle instability. A multicenter study. *Am J Sports Med.* 2002 Jan-Feb;30(1):13-9.
76. Briggs KK, Kocher MS, Rodkey WG, Steadman JR. Reliability, validity, and responsiveness of the Lysholm knee score and Tegner activity scale for patients with meniscal injury of the knee. *J Bone Joint Surg Am.* Apr 2006;88(4):698-705.
77. Marx RG. Evaluating Outcome Following Cartilage Procedures. In: Williams RJ, editor. *Cartilage Repair Strategies.* Totowa, NJ: Humana Press; 2007. p. 13-7.
78. Marx RG, Stump TJ, Jones EC, Warren RF, Wickiewicz TL. Development and Evaluation of an Activity Rating Scale for Disorders of the Knee. *Am J Sports Med.* Mar 2001;29(2):213-8.
79. Altman DG. *Practical Statistics for Medical Research.* New York, NY, USA: Chapman & Hall 1991.
80. (CHMP) Cfmpfhu. Reflection paper on the regulatory guidance for the use of health-related quality of life (HRQL) measures in the evaluation of medicinal products. London; Jul 2005.
81. (CMPM) Cfpmp. Points to consider on multiplicity issues in clinical trials. London; 2002.
82. Little RJA, Rubin DB. *Statistical Analysis with Missing Data.* New York USA: Wiley & Sons; 1987.
83. Diggle PJ. Dealing with missing data in longitudinal studies. In: Everitt BS, Dunn G, editors. *Advances in the Statistical Analysis of Medical Data.* London UK: Arnold; 1998. p. 203-28.
84. Bubbar VK, Kreder HJ. The intention-to-treat principle: a primer for the orthopaedic surgeon. *J Bone Joint Surg Am.* 2006 Sep;88(9):2097-9.
85. Herman A, Botser IB, Tenenbaum S, Chechick A. Intention-to-treat analysis and accounting for missing data in orthopaedic randomized clinical trials. *J Bone Joint Surg Am.* 2009 Sep;91(9):2137-43.
86. Hoenig JM, Heisey DM. The abuse of power: The pervasive fallacy of power calculations in data analysis. *Amer Stat.* 2001;55:9-24.